Application No. 10/652,625 Response dated February 20, 2006 Reply to Office action of December 29, 2005 Page 4 of 5

## Remarks

Claims 1-6 were pending in the application. Claims 1 and 4-6 were rejected.

Claim 2 was objected to. Claims 2, 4, and 5 are amended. Claims 1, 3, and 6 are canceled without prejudice to or disclaimer of the subject matter recited therein. Claims 2, 4, and 5 are now pending in the application. Claim 2 is the independent claim.

Examination of the amended application is respectfully requested.

The examiner rejected claim 1 under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishida, in view of Mosdorf. The examiner also rejected claims 4-6 under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishida, in view of Mosdorf, and further in view of Fukui et al. In addition, the examiner objected to claim 2 as depending from a rejected base claim, but acknowledged that that claim would be allowable if rewritten in independent form, including all of the features of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 2 is amended into independent form, including all of the features of base claim 1 and intervening claim 6, which are canceled. The objection to claim 2, therefore, should be withdrawn. Claim 4 is amended to depend from independent claim 2, and claim 5 is amended to depend only from claim 4. The rejections of claims 4 and 5, therefore, should be withdrawn.

It is respectfully submitted that all objections and rejections have been overcome.

It is therefore requested that the Amendment be entered, the claims allowed, and the case

Application No. 10/652,625 Response dated February 20, 2006 Reply to Office action of December 29, 2005 Page 5 of 5

passed to issue. If the examiner considers any issues to be unresolved, he is urged to contact the undersigned by telephone to expedite resolution.

Respectfully submitted,

February 20, 2006 Date

Thomas M. Champagne
Registration No. 36,478
IP STRATEGIES
12 ½ Wall Street
Suite I
Asheville, North Carolina 28801
828/253-8600
828/253-8620 fax